

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/643,685	(08/24/2000	Michinori Hirota	36595:165847 2576		36595:165847
26694	7590	09/08/2003				
VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP				EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3 WASHING	4385 FON, DC 20043-9998			CHERUBIN, YVESTE GILBERTE		
				. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3713 DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003	lu	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Advisory Action	09/643,685	HIROTA, MICHINORI	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yveste G. Cherubin	3713	-
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED on August 11, 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated at the control of the control o	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire learned on the control of the control o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appi unt of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b			
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 			
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ns.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 3-10.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	TM/ad Teresa Wall Supervisory Pater Group 370	llerg perg t Examiner	

Contin⊌ation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants added ilmitations that were not previously recited which will require further search. The reference to Okada still meets the stop control recited in claim 10. Further, Applicant's request to withdraw the finality has been taken in consideration. However, the finality still stands. In Paper No. 10, August 13, 2002, the Applicants filed a response which contains new subject matter. In response to that communication, the Examiner submitted a final rejection in which she objected to claims 8 and 10. On Paper No. 13, the Applicants submitted an after final in the attempt to get the claims allowed. The after final (Paper No. 13) was not enetered because while reviewing the application, the Examiner realized that the objected claims 8 and 10 in the prior office action was misinterpreted and could have been rejected using the arts of record, The Examiner then withdrew the final office action and submitted another final office action in which claims 8 and 10 got properly rejected. With the withdrawal/cancellation of the first final office action (Paper No. 12), note that the Examiner is responding to the amendment submitted/filed by Applicant in Paper No. 10 which contains the new matter. With that said, the Examiner believes that the final office action was appropriate since it was submitted as a response to the amendment filed by Applicant which contains new matter. By that action, the response time was restarted, giving the applicant ample time to formulate an appropriate response.